



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,282	,	10/29/2003	James M. Wilson	James M. Wilson K1774DIV1 76		
270	7590	05/27/2005		EXAMINER		
HOWSO	N AND H	IOWSON	WHITEMAN, BRIAN A			
ONE SPR	ING HOU	SE CORPORATION	CENTER			
BOX 457			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
321 NORI	RISTOWN	ROAD	1635			
SPRING HOUSE, PA 19477			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/696 _, 282	WILSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian Whiteman	1635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 •						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· ·						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	'						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: No tice to C						
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/696,282

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 are pending.

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definition for nucleotide sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements for Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence Disclosures.

There are nucleotide sequences in Figures 2 and 3B and the sequences are missing a corresponding SEQ ID NO. The nucleotide sequences appear to be in the CRF.

Claim 18 is withdrawn from the election because the phrase "said protein is a secreted protein" lacks proper antecedent basis because claim 18 depends on claim 17. Claim 17 is directed to antisense RNA and not a gene encoding a protein.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 4, and 5, drawn to a recombinant vector comprising an AAV-1 P5 promoter having the sequence of nt 236-2999 of SEQ ID NO: 1 or a functional fragment thereof, classifiable in class 435, subclass 320.1.
- II. Claims 2, 9, and 11, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding AAV-1 helper functions, said molecule comprising an AAV rep coding region and an AAV cap coding region, wherein said cap coding region comprises at least one member

Page 2

selected from the group consisting of vp1, vp2, and vp3, classifiable in class 536, subclass 23.72.

- III. Claims 3, 10, and 12, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding AAV-1 helper functions and molecule comprising an AAV rep coding region and an AAV cap coding region, wherein the rep coding region comprises an AAV-1 rep coding region comprising at least one member selected from rep 78, rep 68, rep 52, and rep 40, classifiable in class 536, subclass 23.72.
- IV. Claims 6-8, 13, 14, 16, 19, and 20, drawn to a method of delivering a transgene encoding a protein to a cell using an AAV virion comprising an AAV-1 cap gene, classifiable in class 424, subclass 93.2.
- V. Claim 17, drawn to a method of delivering an anti-sense sequence to a cell using an AAV virion comprising an AAV-1 cap gene, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The instant specification does not disclose that these methods would be use together. In the instant case the different inventions are directed to delivering different nucleotide sequences to a cell. Invention IV is directed to delivering a nucleotide sequence encoding a protein to a cell and Invention V is directed to delivering an antisense RNA molecule to a cell. In addition, with respect to claims 6-8 not being placed into Group V, the instant specification defines a transgene as a nucleotide

sequence that encodes a protein. See page 9. Thus, an antisense RNA molecule would not be embraced by the definition in the specification as a transgene. Each nucleotide sequence has a different mode of operation, different function, and different effect in a cell. Therefore, each method is divergent in material and steps. For these reasons the Inventions IV and V are patentably distinct. Furthermore, searching the Inventions of groups IV and V together would impose a serious search burden because each method comprises distinct steps and products. As such, it would burdensome to search the inventions of Groups IV and V. The search for each method is not coextensive.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as generating an immune response to an AAV-1 virus or producing an hybrid vector comprising the AAV-1 P5 promoter and AAV cap coding region and AAV rep coding region from an AAV with a different serotype. See MPEP § 806.05(d). The term "recombinant vector" in Group I can read an isolated wild type AAV-1 virus because the term "recombinant" can read on a wild-type virus that is recombinant (That is how a wild-type virus produces a mutant virus). In addition, the term "nucleic acid molecule" in Group II embraces a wild-type AAV 1 virus because there is no indication of hand of man.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as generating an immune response to an AAV-1 virus or producing an hybrid vector comprising the AAV-1 P5

promoter and AAV cap coding region and AAV rep coding region from an AAV with a different serotype. See MPEP § 806.05(d). The term "recombinant vector" in Group I can read an isolated wild type AAV-1 virus because the term "recombinant" can read on a wild-type virus that is recombinant (That is how a wild-type virus produce mutant viruses). In addition, the term "nucleic acid molecule" in Group III embraces a wild-type AAV 1 virus because there is no indication of hand of man.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as generating an immune response to an AAV-1 virus or producing an hybrid vector comprising the AAV-1 cap coding comprising vp1, vp2 and/or vp3 and AAV rep coding region from an AAV with a different serotype. See MPEP § 806.05(d). The term "nucleic acid molecule" in Groups II and III embraces a wild-type AAV 1 virus because there is no indication of hand of man.

Inventions I and IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product in Group I can be used to make a chimeric vector or can read on a wild-type AAV-1 virus. In addition, the product can be used in materially different processes as exemplified in Groups IV and V. Searching the inventions of Groups I, IV and V together impose serious search burden. The inventions of Groups I, IV and V have a separate search status as shown by their different classification.

Inventions II and IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product in Group II can be used to make a chimeric vector or can read on a wild-type AAV-1 virus. In addition, the product can be used in materially different processes as exemplified in Groups IV and V. Searching the inventions of Groups II, IV and V together impose serious search burden. The inventions of Groups II, IV and V have a separate search status as shown by their different classification.

Inventions III and IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product in Group III can be used to make a chimeric vector or can read on a wild-type AAV-1 virus. In addition, the product can be used in materially different processes as exemplified in Groups IV and V. Searching the inventions of Groups III, IV and V together impose serious search burden. The inventions of Groups III, IV and V have a separate search status as shown by their different classification.

Claims 15 and 21-24 link(s) inventions IV and V. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 15 and 21-24. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the

limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group listed above is not required for any other Group listed above and the search for each group is not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

It would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search and consider patentability of all of the presently pending claims, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Art Unit: 1635

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

If applicants elect Group IV, applicants are further required to elect a species from the following:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: protein selected from cytokines, growth factors, differentiation factors in claim 19 and alpha1-antitryspin or erthryopoietin in claim 20.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 15 and 16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/696,282

Art Unit: 1635

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 § 1.17(h).

Page 10

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Whiteman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0764. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 4:00 (Eastern Standard Time), with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, acting SPE - Art Unit 1635, can be reached at (571) 272-0811.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Brian Whiteman

Dran & hoteman

1635

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/696,282 Wilson et al. **Notice to Comply** Examiner Art Unit B. Whiteman 1635 NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS

CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE **DISCLOSURES**

Applicant must file the items indicated below within the time period set in the Office action to which the Notice is attached to avoid abandonment under 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)).

the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):
☑ 1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).
☐ 2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
☐ 3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
☐ 5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
☐ 6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
7. Other: The SEQ ID NO for the nucleotide sequences listed in Figure 2 and 3B are missing.
Applicant Must Provide: ☐ An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
☐ An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment specifically directing its entry into the specification.
☐ A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).
For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:
For Rules Interpretation, call (571) 272-2510 For CRF Submission Help, call (571) 272-2501/2583. Patentln Software Program Support
Technical Assistance703-287-0200 To Purchase PatentIn Software703-306-2600
PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR REPLY